

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/654,954	09/05/2003	Meng-Hong Chen	CHEN3547/EM	7932
23364 B A CON & TH	7590 04/11/2007		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			ZEWDU, MELESS NMN	
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
	NA PERIOD OF PERIOD OF	MAN DITT	T payway	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	04/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/654,954	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Meless N. Zewdu	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	· .				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is the first on the merit of the instant application.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are pending in this action.
- 3. Some of the non-patent literatures/references in the attached IDS Form 1449

 have not been considered (crossed through) since the documentation is incomplete for lack of publication month in addition to the year, as required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 8, 15, 23, 30 and 37 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims recite, "being able", which is not a positive recitation. Canceling this phrase and substituting "transmit and receive" for "transmitting and receiving" could improve the claims structure. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1, 8, 15, 23, 30 and 37 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims recite, "if there is", which makes the claims vague, because the structure does not provide for the alternative when the "if" condition fails to exist (see lines 16, 5, 20, 9, and 15 respectively). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim includes unnecessary and extra characters at the end (see line 19). Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2617

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "an Address 1 field", on line 11, should be changed into "a 1 field address". A similar situation exists in claim 37 (see line 20). Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1, 8, 15, 23, 30 and 37 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims recite, "if there is", which makes the claims vague, because the structure does not provide for the alternative when the "if" condition fails to exist (see lines 16, 5, 20, 9, and 15 respectively). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-14 and 23-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (Liu) (US 2004/0190467 A1). For examination purposes, claim 23 is considered first.

As per claim 23: Liu discloses a system for power-saving in a wireless local area network (see title), comprising:

Art Unit: 2617

plural stations (see fig. 1), each having an active state and a power-saving state, being able to transmit and receive data through the radio media directly to and from a point coordinator (AP) (see paragraphs 0026-0027), and periodically entering its active state to receive a beacon flame (see paragraphs 0090 and 0098); and the point coordinator which periodically transmits the beacon flame with a schedule information including plural sets of association identification and time slot information (see abstract; paragraphs 0027, 0030), the association identification indicating that there is duration for a corresponding station to receive/transmit data (see abstract; paragraphs 0027, 0031), the time slot information specifying the time that the corresponding station is in the active state for receiving/transmitting data (see abstract; paragraphs 0026, 0043);

wherein, if there is duration for a specific station to receive/transmit data, the specific station enters its active state to receive/transmit data in the time specified by the time slot information in the beacon flame (see abstract; paragraphs 0044-0045). **As per claim 24:** Liu discloses the system of claim 23, including a system, wherein each station enters its power-saving state after receiving the beacon frame (see paragraph 0068).

As per claim 25: Liu discloses the system of claim 23, including a system, wherein each station stays in its power-saving state if there is no corresponding association identification in the schedule information (see abstract; paragraphs 0030-0031).

As per claim 26: Liu discloses the system of claim 23, including a system, wherein each specific station re-enters its power-saving state after the data transmission (see abstract; paragraphs 0085, 0087).

Art Unit: 2617 -

As per claim 27: Liu discloses the system of claim 23, including a system, wherein the time slot information is a time stamp (see abstract; figs. 6B-6D). A periodic time slot/frame received must have been time-stamped.

As per claim 28: Liu discloses the system of claim 23, including a system, wherein the time slot information is a time offset (see paragraphs 0022-0023, 0027).

As per claim 29: Liu discloses the system claim 23, including a system, wherein the schedule information is scheduled according to a schedule algorithm for meeting QoS requirements (see paragraph 0023, 0050, 0057,0063).

As per claim 30: the features of claim 30 are similar to the features of claim 23 except transmitting a schedule information after transmitting the beacon frame, which is disclosed by Liu (see 0013, 0028).

As per claim 31: the feature of claim 31 is similar to the feature of claim 24. hence, claim 31 is rejected on the same ground as claim 24.

As per claim 32: the feature of claim 32 is similar to the feature of claim 25. Hence, claim 32 is rejected on the same ground as claim 25.

As per claim 33: the feature of claim 33 is similar to the feature of claim 26. Hence, claim 33 is rejected on the same ground as claim 26.

As per claim 34: the feature of claim 34 is similar to the feature of claim 27. Hence, claim 34 is rejected on the same ground as claim 27.

As per claim 35: the feature of claim 35 is similar to the feature of claim 28. Hence, claim 35 is rejected on the same ground as claim 28.

Art Unit: 2617

As per claim 36: the feature of claim 36 is similar to the feature of claim 29. Hence, claim 36 is rejected on the same ground as claim 29.

As per claim 1: the features of claim 1 are similar to the features of claim 23, except claim 1 is directed to a method the steps of which are the system of claim 23 is intended/required to perform. Hence, since the system of claim 23 is disclosed and the steps of claim 1 are required by the system of claim 23, claim 1 is rejected on the same ground as claim 23.

As per claim 2: the feature of claim 2 is similar to the feature of claim 24. Hence, claim 2 is rejected on the same ground as claim 24.

As per claim 3: the feature of claim 3 is similar to the feature of claim 25. Hence, claim 3 is rejected on the same ground as claim 25.

As per claim 4: the feature of claim 4 is similar to the feature of claim 26. Hence, claim 4 is rejected on the same ground as claim 26.

As per claim 5: the feature of claim 5 is similar to the feature of claim 27. Hence, claim 5 is rejected on the same ground as claim 27

As per claim 6: the feature of claim 6 is similar to the feature of claim 28. Hence, claim 6 is rejected on the same ground as claim 28.

As per claim 7: the feature of claim 7 is similar to the feature of claim 29. Hence, claim 7 is rejected on the same ground as claim 29.

As per claim 8: the features of claim 8 are similar to the features of claim, except claim 8 is directed to a method the steps of which are the system of claim 23 is intended/required to perform. Hence, since the system of claim 23 is disclosed and the

Art Unit: 2617

steps of the method are required by the system, claim 1 is rejected on the same ground as claim 23.

As per claim 9: the feature of claim 9 is similar to the feature of claim 24. Hence, claim 9 is rejected on the same ground as claim 24.

As per claim 10: the feature of claim 10 is similar to the feature of claim 25. Hence, claim 10 is rejected on the same ground as claim 25.

As per claim 11: the feature of claim 11 is similar to the feature of claim 26. Hence, claim 11 is rejected on the same ground as claim 26.

As per claim 12: the feature of claim 12 is similar to the feature of claim 27. Hence, claim 12 is rejected on the same ground as claim 27.

As per claim 13: the feature of claim 13 is similar to the feature of claim 28. Hence, claim 13 is rejected on the same ground as claim 28.

As per claim 14: the feature of claim 14 is similar to the feature of claim 29. Hence, claim 14 is rejected on the same ground as claim 29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2617

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Yildiz et al. (Yildiz) (US 6,674,738 B1). For examination purposes, claim 37 is considered first.

As per claim 37: most of the features of claim 37 are similar to the features of claim 23 and are rejected on the same ground as claim 23. Liu also discloses one of the difference features directed to – a frame body having a plurality of sets of association identifications and time slot information (see paragraphs 0037-0038, 0071, 0075-0076) and a multicast schedule information (see paragraph 0068). The SIV in the WLAN frame includes identification and time information. But, Liu does not explicitly teach whether or not an address 1 field, is set to the above cited multicast address, as claimed by applicant. However, Yilditz teaches about a wireless LAN (WLAN) network wherein a station uses the contents of the "address 1" field to perform the address matching of target receiving stations (see col. 12, lines 13-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Liu with that of Yilditz for the advantage of performing address matching of target stations (see col. 12, lines 13-19).

As per claim 38: Liu teaches a system, wherein each first station enters its power-saving state after receiving the beacon frame and the schedule information frame (see paragraphs 0046, 0068).

As per claim 39: Liu teaches a system, wherein each first station stays in its power-saving state if there is no corresponding association identification in the schedule information frame (see abstract; paragraphs 0030-0031).

Art Unit: 2617

As per claim 40: Liu teaches a system, wherein each specific first station re-enters its power-saving state after the data transmission (see abstract; paragraphs 0085, 0087).

As per claim 41: Liu teaches a system, wherein the time slot information is a time stamp (see abstract; figs. 6B-6D). A periodic time slot/frame received must have been time-stamped.

As per claim 42: Liu teaches a system, wherein the time slot information is a time offset (see paragraphs 0022-0023, 0027).

As per claim 43: Liu teaches a system, wherein the predetermined time period is a PIFS free media period defined in the IEEE 802.11 specification (see paragraph 0036). As per claim 44: Liu teaches a system, wherein the schedule information is scheduled according to a schedule algorithm for meeting QoS requirements (see paragraph 0023, 0050, 0057,0063).

As per claim 15: the features of claim 15 are similar to the features of claim 37, except claim 15 is directed to a method the steps of which are the system of claim 37 is required/intended to perform. Hence, since the system is obviated and the method is required by the system, claim 15 is rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 37.

As per claim 16: the feature of claim 16 is similar to the feature of claim 38. Hence, claim 16 is rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 38.

As per claim 17: the feature of claim 17 is similar to the feature of claim 39. Hence, claim 17 is rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 39.

Art Unit: 2617

As per claim 18: the feature of claim 18 is similar to the feature of claim 40. Hence, claim 18 is rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 40.

As per claim 19: the feature of claim 19 is similar to the feature of claim 41. Hence, claim 19 is rejected on the same ground and motivation a claim 41.

As per claim 20: the feature of claim 20 is similar to the feature of claim 42. Hence, claim 20 is rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 42.

As per claim 21: the feature of claim 21 is similar to the feature of claim 43. Hence, claim 21 is rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 43.

As per claim 22: the feature of claim 22 is similar to the feature of claim 44. Hence, claim 22 is rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 44.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meless N. Zewdu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7873. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Appiah Charles can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Leedy, Molese

Meless Zewdu

Examiner

03 April 2007.